



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,852	09/13/2000	Gerard Vahee	END920000075US1	7942
7590 06/16/2006			EXAMINER	
John R. Pivnichny			FRENEL, VANEL	
IBM, N50/040-	4			
1701 North Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Endicott, NY 13760			3626	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/660,852	VAHEE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Vanel Frenel	3626			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHOI WHICH - Extension after SI - If NO po - Failure to Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠ T 3)∐ S	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ap</u> his action is FINAL . 2b) This ince this application is in condition for allowardsed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition	n of Claims					
4a 5) □ C 6) ☑ C 7) □ C 8) □ C	·	vn from consideration. election requirement.				
10)∐ Th A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examine in the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepplicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctine oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2)	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) to(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 09/660,852 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the Amendment filed on 04/04/06. Claims 1 and 7-12 have been amended. Claims 1-12 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hennings (6,763,496) in view of Jammes (6,484,149), for substantially the same reasons given in the previous Office Action, and incorporated herein. Further reasons will appear hereinbelow.
- (A) Claim 1 has been amended to recite the limitation of "for a project for production of a product or providing services". However, this limitation has clearly shown in Jammes who states:" The Merchant Workbench permits a designer of an electronic store to construct the Web pages of the store in such a way that the electronic store can automatically adjust the navigable links between pages as well as the content on pages to better suit the needs of individual consumers" (See Jammes, Col.56, lines 7-16).

Application/Control Number: 09/660,852 Page 3

Art Unit: 3626

(B) Claims 2-6 have not been amended are therefore rejected for the same reasons given in the previous Office Action, and incorporated herein.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on 4/4/06 with respect to claims 1-12 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments will be addressed in the same way they present in the argument.
- (A) At pages 8-9 of the 4/4/06 response, Applicant argues the followings:
- (a) Hennings does not disclose a project management data model to have entities and relationships described by text and graphical data.
- (b) Jammes does not disclose building a project management tool of any type in claim 1.
- (B) With respect to Applicant's first argument, Examiner respectfully submitted that He relied upon the teaching of Jammes for such a feature. However, this limitation has been clearly shown in Jammes who states: "The Merchant Workbench permits a designer of an electronic store to construct the Web pages of the store in such a way that the electronic store can automatically adjust the navigable links between pages as well as the content on pages to better suit the needs of individual consumers" (See Jammes, Col.1, lines 6-30; Col.56, lines 7-16) which correspond to Applicant's claimed feature. Therefore, Applicant's argument is not persuasive and the rejection is hereby sustained.

Application/Control Number: 09/660,852

Art Unit: 3626

(C) With respect to Applicant's second argument, Examiner respectfully submitted that He relied upon the teaching of Jammes for such a feature. Regarding the above feature, Jammes suggests:" "The Merchant Workbench permits a designer of an electronic store to construct the Web pages of the store in such a way that the electronic store can automatically adjust the navigable links between pages as well as the content on pages to better suit the needs of individual consumers" (See Jammes, Col.1, lines 6-30; Col.56, lines 7-16) which correspond to Applicant's claimed feature. Therefore, Applicant's argument is not persuasive and the rejection is hereby sustained.

Page 4

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3626

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanel Frenel whose telephone number is 571-272-6769. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

√∙*F* ∨.f

June 5, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER